(b & c) ഉത്തരം ആവശ്യമില്ല.

Removal of the Warden of the Vijayaraghavapuram Colony.

326. മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. പി വള്ളോൻ.—ചാലക്കുടി വിജയരാഘവപുരം കോളനിയിലെ വാർഡനായിരുന്ന രാഘവക്കുറുപ്പിനെ ജോലിയിൽ നിന്നും ഒഴിക്കുവാനുള്ള കാരണമെന്തു?

രാമവർമ്മ തമ്പുരാൻ.—കോളനി മാനേജരായിരുന്ന മിസ്റ്റർ രാഘവക്കുറുപ്പ് തന്റെ ജോലി തൃപ്തികരമാംവണ്ണം നിർവഹിക്കാത്തതു കൊണ്ടു്.

License fee charged for the arecanut market at Ottupara.

327. **Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.**—Will the Government be pleased to state—

(a) what is the fee charged for the license for the arecanut market at Ottupara for the year 1109;

(b) on what basis is the said fee charged;

(c) what was the license fee prior to the present fee;

(d) for how many years was this fee levied from the same licensee?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) Rs. 2,250.

(b) The license fee of a private market for any particular year is fixed at 15 per cent of the gross income of that market for the previous year subject to a minimum of Rs. 25.

(c) Rs. 100 a year.

(d) This fee was levied for the last 22 years.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—With regard to (b) it is answered that the license fee was fixed on the gross income of that market. May I know how this gross income was found by the Government?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I should presume by going through the accounts of the license.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.—Can we take it that the amount of Rs. 2,250 given in answer to sub-division (a) is arrived at with the process mentioned by the Hon'ble Secretary?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I said I presume it is so—I do not know what exactly was the process employed. This is one of the natural methods that suggests itself to anybody.

Holding of certain markets in Nalleppilli.

328. **Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.**—Will the Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether there is not in Nalleppilli a market owned by the Chordath house;

(b) how long they were having the license for the said market?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(c) whether any body else was given license for conducting a similar business recently;

(d) whether there were not protests from the Chondath house regarding the issue of a license to a new person;

(e) how was the same complaint disposed of?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) Yes.

(b) From 1087.

(c) Yes.

(d) Yes.

(e) The owner of the new market Mr. Ambat Padmanabha Menon was prohibited from conducting his market on Sundays, *i. e.*, the day allowed for the Chondath market.

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—When was this Padmanabha Menon given permission to open a market at Nallepilly?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I do not know the exact date. It is rather recently,

Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Did he open one at Nallepilly?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I want notice. A license has been given. It is five miles away from the Chondath market.

Budget allotment and expenditure under 'Subscriptions and Donations' in 1108.

329. **Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.**—Will the Government be pleased to state the amount budgetted in 1108 for donations and subscriptions and the amount already given away?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—The allotment under "35 Miscellaneous Subscriptions and Donations" for the year 1108 was Rs. 19,600 and the actual expenditure under the head for the year was Rs. 19,207—5—7.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Here it is said that the budget allotment is only Rs. 19,600. In answer to one of previous questions in Makaram it was said that the expenditure was debited to the head 'Subscriptions and Donations'. To another sub-division the answer was given that the price of timber given to a party was Rs 21,000 and odd rupees. Here the amount is only 19,600. To one party alone 21 thousand and odd rupees was given. May I know how it was adjusted?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—I answered that question also then. The adjustment may have been in the succeeding year.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Should not that expenditure met be placed on the table of the House?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—The Member is confusing. The expenditure had to be paid from the head 'Subscrip-

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly